



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/024,776	12/20/2001	Markus Gerardus Leonardus Maria Van Doorn	NL000740	3930
24737	7590	05/20/2005	EXAMINER	
PHILIPS INTELLECTUAL PROPERTY & STANDARDS P.O. BOX 3001 BRIARCLIFF MANOR, NY 10510			WOO, ISAAC M	
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			2162	

DATE MAILED: 05/20/2005

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Office Action Summary

Application No.

10/024,776

Applicant(s)

VAN DOORN, MARKUS
GERARDUS LEONARDUS MA

Examiner

Isaac M Woo

Art Unit

2162

-- The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address --
Period for Reply

A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) FROM
THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.

- Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will be considered timely.
- If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).

Status

- 1) ☐ Responsive to communication(s) filed on 09 March 2005.
- 2a) ☐ This action is **FINAL**. 2b) ☒ This action is non-final.
- 3) ☐ Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under *Ex parte Quayle*, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.

Disposition of Claims

- 4) ☒ Claim(s) 1-12 is/are pending in the application.
- 4a) Of the above claim(s) _____ is/are withdrawn from consideration.
- 5) ☐ Claim(s) _____ is/are allowed.
- 6) ☒ Claim(s) 1-12 is/are rejected.
- 7) ☐ Claim(s) _____ is/are objected to.
- 8) ☐ Claim(s) _____ are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.

Application Papers

- 9) ☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner.
- 10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on _____ is/are: a) ☐ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner.
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).
- 11) ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.

Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119

- 12) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).
- a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:
1. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.
 2. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. _____.
 3. ☐ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).
- * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.

Attachment(s)

- | | |
|--|---|
| 1) <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) | 4) <input type="checkbox"/> Interview Summary (PTO-413)
Paper No(s)/Mail Date. _____ |
| 2) <input type="checkbox"/> Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) | 5) <input type="checkbox"/> Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152) |
| 3) <input type="checkbox"/> Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08)
Paper No(s)/Mail Date _____ | 6) <input type="checkbox"/> Other: _____ |

DETAILED ACTION

1. A request for continued examination under 37 CFR 1.114, including the fee set forth in 37 CFR 1.17(e), was filed in this application after final rejection. Since this application is eligible for continued examination under 37 CFR 1.114, and the fee set forth in 37 CFR 1.17(e) has been timely paid, the finality of the previous Office action has been withdrawn pursuant to 37 CFR 1.114. Applicant's submission filed on March 09, 2005 has been entered.

2. Claims 1 and 6 are amended. Claims 1-12 are pending.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

3. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless –

(e) the invention was described in (1) an application for patent, published under section 122(b), by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent or (2) a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent, except that an international application filed under the treaty defined in section 351(a) shall have the effects for purposes of this subsection of an application filed in the United States only if the international application designated the United States and was published under Article 21(2) of such treaty in the English language.

4. Claims 1-5 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by Roberts et al (U.S. Patent No. 6,792,605, hereinafter, "Roberts").

With respect to claim 1, Roberts discloses, task-description language (by XML to describe information) application to specify types of web applications (web service application, col. 4, lines 3-27) and services (col. 4, lines 28-47) that describes the functionality of in the Web site (web service for web site, col. 5, lines 1-8) as one or more functional identifiers, see (col. 12, lines 39-57, web site's service and application are described by functions).

With respect to claim 2, Roberts discloses, meta data category comprises a plurality of functional identifiers, each functional identifier denoting a specific type of application or service available via the world wide web, see (col. 12, lines 39-57).

With respect to claim 3, Roberts discloses, each functional identifier comprises one or more keywords identifying a type of application or service being offered by a web based provider, see (col. 12, lines 39-57).

With respect to claim 5, Roberts discloses, category is provided in a searchable area of a web page, see (col. 16, lines 45-64).

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

5. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negated by the manner in which the invention was made.

6. Claims 6-12 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Eichstaedt et al (U.S. Paten No. 6654735, hereinafter, "Eichstaedt") in view of Roberts et al (U.S. Patent No. 6,792,605, hereinafter, "Roberts").

With respect to claim 6, Eichstaedt discloses, retrieving content from one or more data sources, see (col. 10, lines 37-67 to col. 11, lines 1-40, col. 1, lines 57-67 to col. 2, lines 1-39), and presenting the retrieved content to the user's web browser, see (col. 10, lines 37-67 to col. 11, lines 1-40, wherein the retrieved content presented to a user includes information concerning web applications and/or services (application and/or service are retrieved information (results) are displayed in web browser), see (col. 10, lines 37-67 to col. 11, lines 1-40, col. 1, lines 57-67 to col. 2, lines 1-39), the information being retrieved on the basis of a dedicated and searchable meta data (col. 6, lines 30-67 to col. 7, lines 1-43, meta data is used to build meta data categories, fig. 3) category relating to web application and/or service types, see (fig. 3, col. 8, lines 38-67 to col. 9, lines 1-63), wherein the meta data category (fig. 3, col. 6, lines 30-67 to col. 7, lines 1-

Art Unit: 2162

43). Eichstaedt discloses meta data category (fig. 3, col. 6, lines 30-67 to col. 7, lines 1-43). Eichstaedt does not explicitly disclose, task-description language application to specify types of web applications and services that describes the functionality of in the Web site as one or more functional identifiers. However, Roberts discloses, task-description language (by XML to describe information) application to specify types of web applications (web service application, col. 4, lines 3-27) and services (col. 4, lines 28-47) that describes the functionality of in the Web site (web service for web site, col. 5, lines 1-8) as one or more functional identifiers (col. 12, lines 39-57). Therefore, it would have been obvious to a person having ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention was made to modify by incorporating task-description language application to specify types of web applications and services that describes the functionality of in the Web site as one or more functional identifiers. Thus, one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made would have been motivated to use such a combination because that would provide Eichstaedt's system the enhanced task-description language to describe web site function for web users.

With respect to claim 7, Eichstaedt discloses, analyzing an input user query to detect a desire for retrieving web applications and/or services, retrieving content from one or more data sources that match the user query and presenting the retrieved content to the user's web browser, see (col. 10, lines 37-67 to col. 11, lines 1-40).

With respect to claim 8, Eichstaedt discloses, filtering content and applications from the one or more data sources to match such content with a user query or user profile, see (col. 10, lines 37-67 to col. 11, lines 1-40).

With respect to claim 9, Eichstaedt discloses, web browser is configured to deliver information to the user based on a user profile, so as to personalise the portal, see (col. 1, lines 57-67 to col. 2, lines 1-39).

With respect to claim 10, Eichstaedt discloses, user profile is constructed based upon implicit feedback from information originating from the user and delivered by the browser, see (col. 1, lines 57-67 to col. 2, lines 1-39).

With respect to claim 11, Eichstaedt discloses, content is retrieved based directly upon a user query and such content includes one or more links to application and/or service providers identified by said one or more functional identifiers, see (col. 10, lines 37-67 to col. 11, lines 1-40).

With respect to claim 12, Eichstaedt discloses, computer program product being arranged for causing a processor to execute, see (col. 1, lines 17-54).

Conclusion

7. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Isaac M Woo whose telephone number is (571) 272-4043. The examiner can normally be reached on 8:00-5:00.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, John E Breene can be reached on (571) 272-4107. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see <http://pair-direct.uspto.gov>. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

IMW
April 13, 2005


JEAN M. CORRIELUS
PRIMARY EXAMINER